Cost-minimization analysis of biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs in the Brazilian public health system (SUS) considering patients’ weight
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ABSTRACT
Objective: To perform a cost-minimization analysis comparing the cohort with the current average patient weight of 70 kg (MoH current assumption). Since most rheumatoid arthritis (RA) patients in Brazil are women (60 kg or less), we also aimed to define this percentage at Brazilian public healthcare system (SUS). Methods: Treatment-naïve RA patients using biologics from January 2008 to November 2018 were retrieved from Datasus as well as the number of patients ≤ 60 kg and their drug use distribution. Data on drug costs were assessed from the last payment reported by MoH and then recalculated using the weighted average of 60 kg and a 52-weeks a year to assess cost-minimization. Results: In the studied cohort, 33,646 patients (33.3%) were classified as ≤ 60 kg. Annual cost per patient, considering an average weight of 60 kg, ranged from 2,872,29 USD to 4,223.93 USD. Tocilizumab 80 mg was the only drug demonstrating a reduction in annual cost per patient (-526.79 USD). Conclusion: Cost-minimization analysis based on weight-dependent dosage showed that tocilizumab could reduce MoH costs with RA treatment in 14.28%. By adopting weight-dependent dose of 60 kg, the Brazilian government could save up to 916,651,31 USD per year using tocilizumab versus other biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs). In ten years, it represents an accumulative saving of 9,166,513,57 USD.
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RESUMO
Objetivo: Realizar uma análise de custo-minimização comparando a coorte com o peso médio de pacientes de 70 kg (atual premissa do Ministério da Saúde – MS). Como a maioria dos pacientes são mulheres (≤ 60 kg), também se objetivou definir esse percentual no sistema público de saúde brasileiro (SUS). Métodos: Pacientes com artrite reumatoide (AR) virgens de tratamento utilizando biológicos de janeiro/2008 a novembro/2018 foram retirados do Datasus, assim como o número de pacientes com ≤ 60 kg e a distribuição de uso das drogas. Os custos dos medicamentos foram avaliados a partir do último pagamento relatado pelo MS e recalculados utilizando a média de 60 kg e um ano de 52 semanas para estimar a custo-minimização. Resultados: Na coorte estudada, 33,646 pacientes (33.3%) foram classificados com ≤ 60 kg. O custo anual por paciente, considerando o peso médio de 60 kg, variou de 2.872,29 a 4.223,93 USD. Tocilizumabe 80 mg foi o único que demonstrou redução no custo anual por paciente (-526,79 USD). Conclusão: A custo-minimização baseada em dose peso-dependente mostrou que o tocilizumabe poderia reduzir os custos do MS no tratamento de AR em 14,28%. Ao adotar o peso de 60 kg, o governo poderia economizar até 916,651,31 USD ao ano utilizando tocilizumabe vs. outros medicamentos modificadores do curso da doença biológicos (MMCDs). Em 10 anos, isso representa uma economia acumulada de 9,166,513,57 USD.
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Introduction

Rheumatoid arthritis (RA) is an autoimmune, chronic inflammatory disease that primarily involves joints. However, extra-articular manifestations may also be observed, such as rheumatoid nodules, pulmonary involvement or vasculitis and systemic comorbidities. Clinical manifestations of the disease may include symmetrical polyarthritis, arthralgia, stiffness, erythema, movement loss, edema and even complete joint destruction (Smolen et al., 2007; Smolen et al., 2016). It is estimated that the disease prevalence ranges from 0.5 to 1.0% worldwide and from 0.2 to 1.0% in Brazil (Kvien, 2016). It is estimated that the disease prevalence ranges from 0.5 to 1.0% worldwide and from 0.2 to 1.0% in Brazil (Kvien, 2004; Marques Neto et al., 1993).

The disease has a multifactorial characteristic, which results from the interaction between genetic and environmental factors and is most frequently observed among women with a peak of incidence at 50 years old (Alamanos & Drosos, 2005; van der Woude & van der Helm-van Mil, 2018). An increase in mortality rates is also observed among these patients when compared with healthy individuals, decreasing survival about three to ten years, depending on disease severity (Alamanos & Drosos, 2005). Thus, disease generates important burden both to patients and society. The individual burden is related to musculoskeletal deficit, which produces a decline in both physical function, quality of life and the risk of comorbidities. The socioeconomic burden, beyond direct medical costs, is derived from patients’ functional disability (Smolen et al., 2016; da Rocha Castelar Pinheiro et al., 2012).

Disease treatment is determined in accordance with the stage at diagnosis and aims to improve patients’ quality of life, control the progression of joint lesions, prevent functional loss and decrease pain (Smolen et al., 2016). Therapy may involve the use of medications, non-pharmacologic therapies, consultations with specialists, complementary exams and several other procedures (Buendgens et al., 2013). Thus, an economic burden is also observed.

In Brazil, the estimated economic impact of the disease ranges from 19,860.16 Brazilian Real (BRL) to 5,889.13 BRL [2,423.51 American dollars (USD); using 2005 Brazilian currency; 1 USD = 2.43 BRL], considering all cost categories (Buendgens et al., 2013; Chermont et al., 2008; de Azevedo et al., 2008). Costs related to drug therapy represents 90.8% of total direct medical costs and 58.78% of all direct costs (Buendgens et al., 2013; Chermont et al., 2008). The public assistance is responsible for financing 73.6% of direct medical cost and 79.3% of drugs (Buendgens et al., 2013). According to the Organization for Economic Cooperation and Development (OECD), costs related to RA treatment are greater than health expenses per capita in several countries, including Brazil, when gross domestic product and health expenditures are analyzed. In the national scenario, RA-related costs are almost twice (1.88) when compared to general health costs per capita (Chermont et al., 2008).

The Brazilian public healthcare system (SUS) provides RA treatment through the specialized component of pharmaceutical care (Silva et al., 2018). The Brazilian government published in November 2018 a document to regulate the availability of biological disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs (DMARDs) therapeutic schemes in accordance with the best cost-minimization profile. The definition of the best therapeutic option is though defined according to a cost-minimization analysis once the Clinical Protocol and Therapeutic Guidelines for RA recommend the alignment of all biological DMARDs after the failure of the first treatment, justified by the absence of statistically significant differences in efficacy and safety. The guidance is periodically reviewed by the Ministry of Health (MoH), and new recommendations are published according to the cost-minimization profile. The last published list provides the following order: certolizumab Pegol 200 mg soluble injection; tofacitinib citrate 5 mg tablets; adalimumab 40 mg soluble injection; abatacept 250 mg powder for soluble infusion; etanercept 25 mg/50 mg soluble injection; golimumab 50 mg soluble injection; rituximab 500 mg soluble injection; tocilizumab 80 mg soluble injection; abatacept 125 mg/ml soluble injection; and infliximab 100 mg powder for soluble infusion (Brasil, 2018).

Once some biological DMARDs for RA have weight-dependent dosage, the weight pattern is an important factor in economic analysis and should be considered in cost-minimization. According to the MoH’s technical note published in November 2018, the annual cost per patient is calculated based on a weighted average of 70 kg and a year with 48 weeks. However, the average weight of the Brazilian population (18-75 years old) is 70.7 kg and 60.9 kg for male and female individuals, respectively (IBGE, 2010). Most of RA patients in Brazil, including public and private healthcare systems, are females (86% with an average weight of 62.5 kg (±3.9). Male Brazilian RA patients have an average weight of 70.8 kg (±16.1) (Souza et al., 2013; Louzada et al., 2007).

Considering that most Brazilian RA patients are female with an average weight of 60 kg, this study aims to perform a cost-minimization analysis considering RA patients with 60 kg treated with tocilizumab. Furthermore, the study also aimed to estimate the number of patients ≤ 60 kg and to stratify them by drugs used in the temporal analysis.

Materials and methods

Study design

A descriptive analysis was conducted using secondary data available on the Brazilian National Health System Information Technology Department (Datasus). The study was conducted in accordance with local laws; however, since these data are electronically available, without subject’s identification, there was no need for approval by a research ethics committee, neither did the patients have to sign an informed consent.
Study population
Patients diagnosed with RA and in first-line of biologic DMARD treatment from January/2008 to November/2018 were retrieved from a database. The inclusion criteria considered all patients with the diagnosis of RA, using first-line biologic DMARDs from 2008 to 2018. Patients were excluded from analysis if the information about weight was not available on the database (ea: weight ≤ 1) and when information on age and weight did not match (ea: 20 years and 540 kg); these represented 19.1% of total patients in first-line treatment assessed during database analysis. An analysis was performed to estimate the number of first-line biologic DMARD treatment patients whose weight was equal or lower than 60 kg, stratifying the sample by medication use across the time set.

Database
The Datasus is an electronic database that provides information on healthcare utilization that may be useful for health situation analysis, evidence-based decision-making and health assistance program development. Data on morbidity, disability, health access, life conditions and environmental factors are used to produce health indicators, which may be translated into relevant information to quantify and assess health information (Brasil, 2019b).

Costs
Drug costs were assessed through the last payment reported by Brazilian MoH (Brasil, 2019a). This analysis considered only the costs of medication use, excluding any other costs related to disease management.

Brazilian MoH calculates annual costs per patients considering a weighted average of 70 kg and a year with 48 weeks. For this analysis, drug costs were recalculated for the cohort of patients with a weighted average of 60 kg and a year with 52 weeks to assess a more realistic cost-minimization. Costs were converted into American dollars (USD), considering the average price for the period between 03/18/2019 and 05/16/2019 (1 USD = 3.91 BRL), according to Brazilian Central Bank (Banco Central do Brasil, 2019).

Statistical analysis
A descriptive analysis was conducted, using measures of central tendency and dispersion and measures of frequency. Patients were analyzed according to weight and age. The cohort of first-line biological DMARD treatment patients whose weight was equal or lower than 60 kg was isolated and stratified by medication use across the predefined time horizon.

Results
Extraction from Datasus database retrieved a total of 124,965 RA patients in use of biologics DMARDs from January 2008 to November 2018. Regarding gender, 79% were female and 21% male (Table 1), 19.1% were excluded due to eligibility criteria and 80.9% were analyzed.

From the eligible sample (N = 101,058; 80.9%), 33.3% (N = 33,646) were classified as having 60 kg or less. Gender distribution was different among all biologics except for tocilizumab where a female with 60 kg or less represented 38.2% of the patients and male, 37.4% (Table 2).

Annual cost analysis per patient using biological DMARDs has shown that annual expenses would be 2,872.29 USD for certolizumab 200 mg, 3,160.75 USD for tocilizumab, 3,176.09 USD for adalimumab, 3,236.20 USD for abatacept IV, 3,515.69 USD for rituximab, 3,580.83 USD for golimumab, 4,048.31 USD for tofacitinib, 3,875.14 USD for etanercept, and 4,223.93 USD for abatacept SC 125 mg, considering an average weight of 60 kg and a 52-week period. Infliximab price could not be calculated due to the process of partnership for product

Table 1. Database retrieved from January 2008 to November 2018 for a total of RA first-line biological DMARD treatment patients treated with biological DMARDs on SUS

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
<th>Female</th>
<th>Male</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Tocilizumab</td>
<td>2,173</td>
<td>428</td>
<td>2,601</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>2,098</td>
<td>414</td>
<td>2,512</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab</td>
<td>4,394</td>
<td>703</td>
<td>5,097</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4,337</td>
<td>694</td>
<td>5,031</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>28,354</td>
<td>8,124</td>
<td>36,478</td>
<td>5,208</td>
<td>1,991</td>
<td>7,199</td>
<td>23,146</td>
<td>6,133</td>
<td>29,279</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td>39,398</td>
<td>10,606</td>
<td>50,004</td>
<td>7,876</td>
<td>2,392</td>
<td>10,268</td>
<td>31,522</td>
<td>8,214</td>
<td>39,736</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>2,327</td>
<td>351</td>
<td>2,678</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>2,260</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>2,599</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>2,345</td>
<td>348</td>
<td>2,693</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>2,267</td>
<td>339</td>
<td>2,606</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>11,835</td>
<td>4,296</td>
<td>16,131</td>
<td>4,045</td>
<td>1,601</td>
<td>5,646</td>
<td>7,790</td>
<td>2,695</td>
<td>10,485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golimumab</td>
<td>7,838</td>
<td>1,428</td>
<td>9,266</td>
<td>405</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>471</td>
<td>7,433</td>
<td>1,362</td>
<td>8,795</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofacitinib</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>98,679</td>
<td>26,286</td>
<td>124,965</td>
<td>17,813</td>
<td>6,094</td>
<td>23,907</td>
<td>80,866</td>
<td>20,192</td>
<td>101,058</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
development and the drug was placed in the last position of the ranking following MoH procedure in a technical note. The comparison of all biologics costs for patients with an average weight of 60 kg showed that only tocilizumab presented a price reduction (-$267.79 USD; 14.28% of reduction) (Table 3).

Table 4 shows the analysis of annual price and total expenses for the first-line biological DMARDs treatment of the sample assessed on a database with weight lower or equal to 60 kg. Total costs in the period was 3,024,838 USD with tocilizumab (N = 957), 4,059,355 USD with certolizumab (N = 1,459), 40,479,675 USD with etanercept (N = 10,446), 41,130,428 USD with adalimumab (N = 12,950), 3,150,060 USD with rituximab (N = 896), 2,660,159 USD with abatacept (N = 822), 9,564,387 USD with golimumab (N = 2,671) and 12,145 USD with tofacitinib (N = 3). Infliximab price could not be calculated due to the process of partnership for product development.

Table 2. Total first-line biological DMARD treatment patients with weight lower or equal to 60 kg stratified by gender and biologic DMARDs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Product</th>
<th>Total (all weight)</th>
<th>Female (%)</th>
<th>Male (%)</th>
<th>Total (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tocilizumab</td>
<td>2,512</td>
<td>802 (38.2%)</td>
<td>155 (37.4%)</td>
<td>957 (38.1%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab</td>
<td>5,031</td>
<td>1,369 (31.6%)</td>
<td>90 (13.0%)</td>
<td>1,459 (29.0%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept</td>
<td>29,279</td>
<td>8,885 (38.4%)</td>
<td>1,561 (25.5%)</td>
<td>10,446 (35.7%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab</td>
<td>39,736</td>
<td>11,492 (36.5%)</td>
<td>1,458 (17.8%)</td>
<td>12,950 (32.6%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab</td>
<td>2,599</td>
<td>839 (33.7%)</td>
<td>57 (16.8%)</td>
<td>896 (34.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept</td>
<td>2,606</td>
<td>761 (33.6%)</td>
<td>61 (18.0%)</td>
<td>822 (31.5%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab</td>
<td>10,485</td>
<td>2,880 (37.0%)</td>
<td>562 (20.9%)</td>
<td>3,442 (32.8%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golimumab</td>
<td>8,795</td>
<td>2,514 (33.8%)</td>
<td>157 (11.5%)</td>
<td>2,671 (30.4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofacitinib</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>3 (23.1%)</td>
<td>0 (0.0%)</td>
<td>3 (20.0%)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3. Annual cost per patient, considering an average weight of 60 kg to calculate drug costs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Drug</th>
<th>Annual cost per patient 60 kg (USD)</th>
<th>Annual cost per patient 70 kg (USD)</th>
<th>Difference (USD)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab 200 mg</td>
<td>2,782.29</td>
<td>2,782.29</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Certolizumab 80 mg</td>
<td>3,160.75</td>
<td>3,687.54</td>
<td>-526.79</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adalimumab 40 mg</td>
<td>3,176.09</td>
<td>3,176.09</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept IV 250 mg</td>
<td>3,236.20</td>
<td>3,236.20</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rituximab 500 mg</td>
<td>3,515.69</td>
<td>3,515.69</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Golimumab 50 mg</td>
<td>3,580.83</td>
<td>3,580.83</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Etanercept 50 mg</td>
<td>3,875.14</td>
<td>3,875.14</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tofacitinib 5 mg</td>
<td>4,048.31*</td>
<td>4,048.31*</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Abatacept SC 125 mg</td>
<td>4,223.93</td>
<td>4,223.93</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Infliximab 200 mg</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
<td>**</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

USD: American dollars

All the values were calculated based on the MoH public information in January 2019.

* Tofacitinib price calculations don’t consider taxes, as actually performed by MoH.

** Infliximab price could not be calculated due to the process of partnership for product development. The drug was placed in the last position of the ranking as MoH procedure in technical note.

Discussion

This study was conducted aiming to perform a cost-minimization analysis considering the cohort of RA patients with 60 kg or less treated with tocilizumab rather than more expensive treatment options. Thus, data on the pool of patients with this characteristic was obtained from the national database, and the simulations were performed using price strategy applied by the government.

The development of economic analysis for health technologies helps the decision-making process by weighing the relationship between clinical benefits and costs associated with their adoption. Four types of economic analysis are broadly used by the scientific community: cost-minimization, cost-benefit, cost-effectiveness and cost-utility (Silva et al., 2014; Secoli et al., 2010). Cost-minimization...
analysis is the method chosen when treatment benefits are considered similar. Despite several publications and head-to-head studies showing differences in treatment outcomes for biological DMARDs (especially in monotherapy, which represents up to one-third of patients with RA), the Brazilian government works with the assumption of no difference in effectiveness and safety of the available technologies (Silva et al., 2014; Singh et al., 2010; Donahue et al., 2012; Donahue et al., 2018; Emery et al., 2018; Gabay et al., 2013).

In the Brazilian government context of RA treatment, biological DMARDs are used after failure of synthetic DMARDs (first stage of treatment). Regarding the choice among biological options, cost minimization strategy adopted by the MoH is reinforced by several health technology agencies worldwide that use this methodology to define the adoption of RA treatment (Iannazzo et al., 2013). However, these agencies also consider the patient’s profile and alternative treatment strategies to create exceptions to cost-minimization, which is followed in Brazilian MoH by the Clinical Protocol and Therapeutic Guidelines (PDCT – Protocolos Clínicos e Diretrizes de Terapêuticos da Artrite Reumatoide). Patients’ weight analysis is relevant for cost-minimization approach for RA treatment in Brazil, regardless of cost-minimization effectiveness.

Previous cost analysis related to RA treatment in Brazil estimates the economic impact of the disease reaching almost 20,000 BRL, considering all costs categories, and the expenses related to drug therapies are the main cost driver (Buendgens et al., 2013; Chermont et al., 2008; de Azevedo et al., 2008). In this scenario, this study provides important information to help the decision-making process.

Cost-minimization analysis from the perspective of the Brazilian public healthcare system has shown that tocilizumab utilization by RA patients with weight lower or equal to 60 kg treated with more expensive strategies could promote an economy of 9,166,513.57 USD in a ten-year period. To the authors’ knowledge, this is the first study to perform a cost-minimization simulation considering RA patients with 60 kg or less treated with tocilizumab from the perspective of the Brazilian public healthcare system. Other cost-minimization analyses for RA treatment were performed in countries like Spain, France, Greece and Mexico. However, only two studies included the use of tocilizumab in the analysis (Ariza et al., 2014; Fautrel et al., 2005; Pichardo-Piña et al., 2015; Fragoulakis et al., 2015).

Pichardo-Piña et al. (2015) compared the costs of treating RA with adalimumab to etanercept, abatacept, infliximab, tocilizumab, certolizumab pegol and golimumab in the private market of Mexico, in a five-year time horizon, assuming patients’ weight of 70 kg. In this scenario, adalimumab has proved to be less expensive against considered alternatives (Pichardo-Piña et al., 2015).

Ariza et al. (2014) compared the cost of treating RA patients after the failure of methotrexate with subcutaneous abatacept versus other first-line biologic disease-modifying antirheumatic drugs, including tocilizumab, from the perspective of the Spanish healthcare system and a three-year time horizon, also assuming a patient weight of 70 kg. In this scenario, abatacept was shown to be less expensive when compared to all other alternatives (Ariza et al., 2014).

Differences observed on the results shown in the present study and analysis described by Pichardo-Piña et al. (2015) and Ariza et al. (2014) may be attributed to differences in healthcare systems. Another important difference is the statement of the patients’ average weight. Pichardo-Piña et al. (2015) and Ariza et al. (2014) consider the average of 70 kg while in the present analysis the average of 60 kg is used. Some disease-modifying drugs are dosage-weight-dependent and this definition directly affects the results found in the estimation.

Tables 3 and 4 present an estimation of the cost-savings from MoH if using weight cost-minimization approach.
In this hypothetical scenario, if these patients were treated with tocilizumab, a total of 28,745 patients would have used this treatment option and the government would have saved 9,166,513.57 USD in a ten-year period or 916,651.31 USD per year. Although infliximab was excluded from the analysis, it was placed in the last position of a technical note, ranking with greater costs. Thus, it is possible to estimate that savings could be even higher if these potential patients were treated with tocilizumab.

The analysis performed also corroborates the change of tocilizumab in the scale of cost-minimization at MoH list. Considering the treatment only for patients with an average weight of 60 kg (33.3% of the RA patients), tocilizumab would be classified as the second biologic DMARD on the list with a price of 3,160.75 USD per patient per year. If we consider the total tocilizumab cost and 38.1% of patients (60 kg average) and 61.9% (70 kg average), tocilizumab would perform a new average price of 3,532.87 USD and would be the 5th on the cost-minimization price list.

Despite the relevant findings of this study, some limitations need to be highlighted. Since data were obtained through a secondary database, it is subject to problems related to underreporting and filling errors. Furthermore, this analysis only considers the cost related to drug therapy; not the other important issues such as other sources of costs, health-related quality of life and patients’ preferences. Another limitation is inherent in all economic analyses that are based on simulations, which may not reflect what occurs in real life.

Even the results are based on a hypothetical scenario, the study presents important results for further long-term cost assessments given the chronic nature of the disease.

**Conclusion**

The cost-minimization analysis showed that the adoption of a weight parameter by the Brazilian government for RA treatment could save 916,651.31 USD per year, accumulating a total saving of 9,166,513.57 USD in ten years if RA patients with 60 kg or less were treated with tocilizumab. Thus, tocilizumab has shown to be the only biologic DMARD that decreases the cost with weight analysis and may be a treatment option instead of using costlier treatment options.
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